Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

S/o Sh. Des Raj Gupta, # 8/237, Jagroan Road, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana-141101

Versus

Public Information officer,

o/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Nihal Singh Wala-142055, District Moga. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Red Cross Complex, District Ferozepur-152002

Appeal Case No. 867 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **4.2.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding Municipal Council Nihal Singh Wala</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.5.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **9.2.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **22.7.2021**.

2. Both parties are absent. The respondent PIO in an email dated: 19.7.2021 has submitted a copy of the reply/information, sent to the appellant. The appellant, in an email dated: 22.7.2021, stated that he has received the requested information and is satisfied with it.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jasbir Singh, S/o Sh. Gurbaksh Singh, Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office-Ramgarh, District Ludhiana-141123

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, District Moga. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, District Moga.

Appeal Case No. 1158 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Davinder Pal Singh, Clerk (for the Respondent) 97805-00404

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **1.10.20** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding employees at the automatic driving test track</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.11.20**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **3.3.21** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **22.7.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Davinder Pal Singh, Clerk, present through Video Conference Facility from Ludhiana, stated that a written point-wise reply vide letter No. 780/RTI dated: 9.10.2020 was sent to the appellant well within the stipulated period of 30 days. The Commission has examined the a foresaid reply and concurs with the respondent PIO's decision.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

H.S Hundal,

S/o Sh. A.S. Hundal, # 203, Judicial Courts, District Mohali-160071

Versus

Public Information officer,

o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, District Moga **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, District Moga.

Appeal Case No. 1093 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: H.S Hundal (Appellant) 98785-00082 Davinder Pal Singh, Clerk (for the Respondent) 97805-00404

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **18.11.20** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> regarding letters written by SDM Moga or PIO o/o SDM Moga to any office/authority after **31.7.2019** for supply of remaining information to Appellant as per Orders of the <u>Information Commission, with replies thereof etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **18.12.20**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **1.3.21** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **22.7.2021**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility. The respondent PIO represented by Davinder Pal Singh, Clerk, stated that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant has also sent an email to this Commission on 20.7.2021.

3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,

S/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Ward No.18, Street No.2, Kartar Nagar, Amloh road, Khanna-141401 District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information officer,

o/o The Regional Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, 1st Floor, D.C. Office Complex, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o The Regional Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, 1st Floor, Mini Secretariat, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 1173 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia (Appellant) 94645-00405 (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **5.10.20** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding his complaint dated 18.11.2019</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.11.20**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **3.3.21** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **22.7.2021**.

2. The respondent PIO is absent but has requested an adjournment citing administrative reasons. The appellant however, states that he has received the requested information and satisfied with it.

3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.